



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/986,247	11/08/2001	Min Kim	SEC.853	5037

7590 06/20/2003  
JONES VOLENTINE, P.L.L.C.  
Suite 150  
12200 Sunrise Valley Drive  
Reston, VA 20191

EXAMINER

GUERRERO, MARIA F

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2822

DATE MAILED: 06/20/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/986,247

Applicant(s)

KIM ET AL.

Examiner

Maria Guerrero

Art Unit

2822

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

## Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 April 2003.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 11-28 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 11-28 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

## Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 7.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other:

Art Unit: 2822

**DETAILED ACTION**

1. This Office Action is in response to the Amendment filed April 1, 2003.

Claims 1-10 are canceled.

Claims 11-28 are pending.

***Priority***

2. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 25 and 27-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 27 recites: "removing the entire portion of the thermal oxide layer that extends between the lateral portions having the sectional profile of a bird's beak". The independent claim 11 recites "the lateral portions each having a curvilinear sectional profile at an interface with the upper surface of the semiconductor substrate". Therefore, claim 27 is vague and indefinite.

The terms "high temperature" and "middle temperature" in claims 25 and 28 are relative terms, which render the claims indefinite. The terms "high" and "middle" are not defined by the claims, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the

Art Unit: 2822

requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. The expressions high temperature oxide layer and middle temperature oxide layer do not describe any specific process of forming the oxide layers.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 11-12 and 22-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bohr (U.S. 5,536,675) in view of Chang et al. (U.S. 6,326,310).

Bohr teaches a method of manufacturing a trench isolation structure (Abstract). Bohr shows sequentially forming a pad oxide layer and a hard mask layer on a semiconductor substrate, patterning the pad oxide layer and the hard mask layer using photolithography, etching a portion of the semiconductor substrate to form a shallow trench, and forming a thermal oxide layer along inner walls of the semiconductor substrate that define the shallow trench (Fig. 1, 3A, col. 4, lines 64-67, col. 5, lines 15-20, and 59-65).

Furthermore, Bohr shows the lateral portions of the thermal oxide having a curvilinear section profile at an interface with the upper surface of the semiconductor substrate and a central portion. Bohr shows etching away the central portion of the

Art Unit: 2822

thermal oxide layer and the semiconductor substrate to extend the shallow trench deeper into the semiconductor substrate (col. 6, lines 10-15, 40-48).

Bohr teaches forming a buffer layer over the deep trench, filling the deep trench with a first oxide layer (CVD deposited silicon dioxide or other oxide formation techniques), planarizing the structure, and removing the hard mask pattern (Fig. 2, col. 7, lines 20-27, 40-45, 60-62).

Regarding claims 11-12 and 22-25, Bohr fails to show using the hard mask pattern as a mask to extend the shallow trench, forming a spacer along sidewalls of the hard mask pattern and the pad oxide pattern and etching a portion of the semiconductor substrate using the hard mask pattern and the spacer as a mask to form the shallow and deep trenches. However, Bohr teaches various techniques and/or various other materials may be used for the masking and etching of the trenches (col. 7, lines 5-9). In addition, Chang et al. shows forming the spacers along sidewalls of the hard mask pattern and the pad oxide pattern and etching a portion of the semiconductor substrate using the hard mask pattern and the spacers as a mask (Fig. 3-5, col. 4, lines 1-9, 22-30, col. 5, lines 10-30, col. 6, lines 4-10).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the step of forming the spacer and using the spacer and the hard mask pattern as a mask in order to use any desired trench profile (Chang et al., col. 25-30).

5. Claims 17, 19, 26, and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bohr (U.S. 5,536,675) in view of Yoo et al. (U.S. 6,033,969).

Art Unit: 2822

Bohr teaches forming a thermal oxide layer on a portion of the upper surface of the semiconductor substrate where a trench isolation layer will be formed and etching away portions of the thermal oxide layer and the semiconductor substrate (Fig. 3B-3C, col. 6, lines 10-12). Bohr teaches forming a buffer layer over the deep trench, filling the deep trench with a first oxide layer (CVD deposited silicon dioxide or other oxide formation techniques), planarizing the structure, and removing the hard mask pattern (Fig. 2, col. 7, lines 20-27, 40-45, 60-62).

Regarding claims 17, 19, 26, and 28, Bohr does not specifically show forming a thermal oxide layer on a portion of the flat upper surface of the semiconductor substrate between respective portions of the pad oxide pattern. Bohr does not specifically show the thermal oxide having lateral portions with sectional profile of a bird's beak at an interface with the upper surface of the semiconductor substrate. However, Bohr teaches the steps may be reordered, modified, or even improved upon and that other processing steps may be used. Bohr discloses various other techniques and/or various other materials may be used for the masking and etching the trenches (col. 6, lines 49-65, col. 7, lines 5-8).

Furthermore, Yoo et al. teaches forming a thermal oxide layer on a portion of the flat upper surface of the semiconductor substrate between respective portions of the pad oxide pattern (Fig. 4-5, col. 2, lines 50-65, col. 3, lines 1-20, 60-65). Yoo et al. discloses the thermal oxide having lateral portions with sectional profile of a bird's beak at an interface with the upper surface of the semiconductor substrate (Fig. 6, col. 2,

Art Unit: 2822

lines 30-40, col. 3, lines 65-67). Yoo et al. teaches etching the central portion of the thermal oxide layer and the lateral portions are left (Fig. 6-8, col. 4, lines 4-15, 40-55).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Bohr reference by including the teaching of Yoo et al. The modification would provide trenches having protected corners and would avoid dislocations at the corner of the trenches (col. 2, lines 7-40)

6. Claims 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bohr (U.S. 5,536,675) in view of Yoo et al. (U.S. 6,033,969) as applied to claims 17, 19, 26, and 28 above, and further in view of Chang et al. (U.S. 6,326,310).

Regarding claim 18, the combination of Bohr and Yoo et al. does not specifically show forming a spacer along sidewall of the hard mask pattern and the pad oxide pattern and using the spacer as a mask. However, Bohr teaches various techniques and/or various other materials may be used for the masking and etching of the trenches (col. 7, lines 5-9). In addition, Chang et al. shows forming the spacers along sidewalls of the hard mask pattern and the pad oxide pattern and etching a portion of the semiconductor substrate using the hard mask pattern and the spacers as a mask (Fig. 3-5, col. 4, lines 1-9, 22-30, col. 5, lines 10-30, col. 6, lines 4-10).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the step of forming the spacer and using the spacer and the hard mask pattern as a mask in order to use any desired trench profile (Chang et al., col. 25-30).

Art Unit: 2822

7. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bohr (U.S. 5,536,675) and Yoo et al. (U.S. 6,033,969) as applied to claims 17, 19, 26, and 28 above, and further in view of Benedict et al. (U.S. 5,763,315).

Regarding claim 20, the combination of Bohr and Yoo et al. fails to show forming a second oxide layer between the liner and the first oxide layer. However, Benedict et al. shows the step of forming the second oxide layer between the liner and the first oxide layer (Fig. 2B-2E, col. 3, lines 60-63, col. 4, lines 18-21).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the step of forming the second oxide layer between the liner and the first oxide layer as taught Benedict et al. The modification would provide an improved liner material having a reduced trap density (Benedict et al., col. 1, lines 59-63).

8. Claims 13-14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bohr (U.S. 5,536,675) and Chang et al. (U.S. 6,326,310) as applied to claims 11-12 and 22-25 above, and further in view of Benedict et al. (U.S. 5,763,315).

Regarding claims 13-14, the combination of Bohr and Chang et al. fails to show forming a second oxide layer between the liner and the first oxide layer. However, Benedict et al. shows the step of forming the second oxide layer between the liner and the first oxide layer (Fig. 2B-2E, col. 3, lines 60-63, col. 4, lines 18-21).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the step of forming the second oxide layer between the liner and the first oxide layer as taught Benedict et al. The modification would provide an



Art Unit: 2822

improved liner material having a reduced trap density (Benedict et al., col. 1, lines 59-63).

9. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bohr (U.S. 5,536,675) and Yoo et al. (U.S. 6,033,969) as applied to claims 17, 19, 26, and 28 above, and further in view of Hashimoto et al. (U.S. 6,027,983).

Regarding claim 21, the combination of Bohr and Yoo et al. fails to show the silicon layer being monocrystalline, the step of terminating the etching of the semiconductor substrate at an interface between two of the respective of the SOI structure. However, Hashimoto et al. shows forming a deep trench on a semiconductor substrate having a SOI structure. Hashimoto et al. shows the SOI structure comprising a monocrystalline silicon layer, terminating the etching of the semiconductor substrate at an interface between two of the respective layers of the SOI structure (Fig. 7, col. 8, lines 50-55, col. 9, lines 40-45).

The modification would provide a process for forming trenches that would be employed in variety of applications having improved yield and reliability (Hashimoto et al., col. 2, lines 10-24; Bohr, col. 8, lines 13-20, 32-38).

10. Claims 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bohr (U.S. 5,536,675) and Chang et al. (U.S. 6,326,310) as applied to claims 11-12 and 22-25 above, and further in view of Hashimoto et al. (U.S. 6,027,983).

Regarding claims 15-16, the combination of Bohr and Chang et al. fails to show the silicon layer being monocrystalline, the step of terminating the etching of the

Art'Unit: 2822

semiconductor substrate at an interface between two of the respective of the SOI structure. However, Hashimoto et al. shows forming a deep trench on a semiconductor substrate having a SOI structure. Hashimoto et al. shows the SOI structure comprising a monocrystalline silicon layer, terminating the etching of the semiconductor substrate at an interface between two of the respective layers of the SOI structure (Fig. 7, col. 8, lines 50-55, col. 9, lines 40-45).

The modification would provide a process for forming trenches that would be employed in variety of applications having improved yield and reliability (Hashimoto et al., col. 2, lines 10-24; Bohr, col. 8, lines 13-20, 32-38).

### ***Response to Arguments***

11. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 11-28 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The objection to the title is withdrawn.

### ***Conclusion***

12. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 2822

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maria Guerrero whose telephone number is 703-305-0162.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amir Zarabian can be reached on 703-308-4905. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

MG  
MG

June 9, 2003

  
AMIR ZARABIAN  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800